

A Deep Dive into the Emotional, Spiritual, and Socio-Religious Dimensions of Syair Soenoer

Novi Yulia

Affiliation: Universitas Andalas

Email: mandeh_denai@yahoo.com

Yudhi Andoni

Affiliation: Universitas Andalas

Email: yudhiandoni@hum.unand.ac.id

Received: September 2025; Accepted: September 2025

Abstract: This poignant literary exploration delves into the heart-wrenching journey of Soenoer, a young Minangkabau soul caught between two worlds, as captured in the timeless verses of "Syair Soenoer." More than just a historical narrative, the poem becomes a mirror reflecting the soul-crushing emotional, spiritual, and social rupture that tore Minangkabau society apart during the tumultuous Padri War of the early 19th century. Through the raw vulnerability of Soenoer's flight—leaving behind the familiar shores of his childhood, the comforting rhythms of his mother's voice, and the sacred tapestry of his community's traditions—the poem reveals the profound human cost of religious and cultural revolution. It's a story of a son's heartbreak as he turns his back on his mother's tears, a spiritual pilgrim's desperate quest for authenticity, and a society's painful struggle to reconcile its ancestral roots with a new, uncompromising vision of faith. In its quiet intensity, "Syair Soenoer" reminds us that the truest battles are not fought on battlefields, but within the fragile spaces of family, identity, and the enduring human need for a sense of belonging.

Keywords: Syair Soenoer, Minangkabau, Padri War, Shattariyyah Sufi order, Wahhabi reformism

The emotional expression found within *Syair Soenoer* is not a simple sentiment but a profound and agonized representation of a soul caught in the crucible of a civilization-defining conflict. It articulates a deeply personal crisis that mirrors the larger schism tearing apart Minangkabau society in the early 19th century. The poem's central figure experiences a radical rupture with his past, his community, and his own inherited

identity. This rupture is described through potent imagery of flight and exile, where the protagonist flees from the familiar landscapes of his youth—the "pantai yang lama" (old shore), the "malam yang dulu" (nights of old), and the "rumah nan basandi adat" (house built according to custom). These images are not merely nostalgic; they are the very foundations of his being, and his departure from them signifies a severing of roots. The act

of leaving is imbued with a sense of finality and sorrow, suggesting an emotional state of profound loss and displacement. He does not leave wistfully; he leaves with such urgency that he does not even turn back, a gesture that underscores the trauma and desperation driving him away.

A deep-seated anxiety about the future further compounds this internal turmoil: the lyrical voice expresses fear of what lies ahead, a dread that is palpable in the lines describing his journey. He fears for his life, fearing capture and death at the hands of those he has abandoned. This fear is not just physical but existential. It represents a terror of being consumed by the very tradition he seeks to escape—a tradition that views his new beliefs as heretical and dangerous. The emotional weight of this fear is immense, painting a picture of a man living under a constant shadow of persecution. His journey is thus not just a geographical movement but a perilous passage through a psychological and spiritual wilderness, haunted by the ghosts of his former self and the ever-present threat of his former community.

This fundamental dislocation defines the emotional core of Syair Soenoer. The protagonist is no longer at home in his own world. The values, customs, and faith of his people have become alien and threatening to him. This

estrangement is the primary source of his emotional suffering. The poem serves as a testament to the pain of being an outsider among one's own kin, a condition marked by extreme cognitive and emotional dissonance. He is torn between two irreconcilable worlds, and his soul bleeds from the wound. The emotional journey depicted is therefore one of profound alienation, fear, and grief. It captures the human cost of religious and cultural revolution—the loneliness of the convert, the bitterness of the rebel, and the heartache of a generation forced to choose between its ancestors and its conscience. In essence, *Syair Soenoer* serves as a powerful literary embodiment of the emotional landscape of a divided soul, a timeless expression of the agony that accompanies a radical break with one's origins.

The Spiritual Odyssey

The spiritual dimension of Syair Soenoer is the engine of its narrative and the very reason for the protagonist's anguished flight. It charts a dramatic and transformative journey from a deeply embedded, locally contextualized Islamic tradition to a newly adopted, scripturalist interpretation of the religion influenced by Wahhabi reformism. The poem's title character, Soenoer, undergoes a spiritual conversion so complete that it necessitates a physical separation from his entire

world. The impetus for this change appears to be an encounter with a new set of religious ideas, likely propagated by the Padri reformists who were active in Sumatra at the time. These ideas, rooted in Hanbali-influenced Wahhabi thought, presented a stark and uncompromising vision of Islam, one that stood in direct opposition to the syncretic and Sufi-infused orthodoxy of the Minangkabau people.

The text describes how Soenoer was "led astray," a phrase that carries significant theological weight. For the traditionalist community, his new faith would not have been seen as a legitimate evolution of their shared belief system but as a deviation into heresy. The sources indicate that the Padri movement vehemently condemned the established religious practices of the region, particularly the teachings of the Shattariyyah Sufi order, which they branded as *bid'ah* (innovation, or heresy). Therefore, Soenoer's 'straying' is the spiritual act of defecting to this reformist cause. He abandons the mystical traditions centered around figures like Sheikh Burhanuddin Ulakan and the practices associated with the Shattariyyah *tariqa*, including concepts like *wahdat al-wujud* (the unity of being) and the observance of rituals like *Basapa*. His new path is one of strict adherence to Sharia law as interpreted through the Shafi'i school of thought, but filtered

through the austere lens of reformist ideology. His decision to flee suggests that this newfound faith was not a matter of private conviction alone; it had become a public and political statement, forcing him to confront the very fabric of his society.

Soenoer's spiritual journey is fraught with doubt and a desperate search for truth. The fact that he flees indicates a struggle to live with his convictions within a hostile environment. His actions suggest a belief that true faith cannot be practiced in a compromised space. By leaving, he chooses a life of hardship and uncertainty over a life of hypocrisy. This reflects a core tenet of the Padri movement: the necessity of cleansing society of what they considered corrupting influences to establish a pure and righteous Muslim community. His spiritual odyssey is thus a quest for authenticity, even if it leads him away from everything he knows and loves. The poem poignantly captures the spiritual turmoil of this period, illustrating the immense pressure placed on individuals to align themselves with one of two competing visions for the future of Minangkabau Islam. Soenoer's story is a microcosm of this larger struggle, embodying the difficult choice between fidelity to ancestral tradition and fidelity to a revolutionary faith.

Conflict of Worlds

Syair Soenoer stands as a powerful literary artifact that crystallizes the profound socio-religious conflict known as the Padri War (c. 1803–1837). The poem provides a unique perspective on this tumultuous period, shifting the focus from grand strategic battles to the intimate, human-scale drama of defection and division within a single family or clan unit. It masterfully illustrates the ideological chasm that tore Minangkabau society apart, pitting the modernizing, reformist faction against the traditionalist, orthodox one. The protagonist, Soenoer, is the personification of the Kaum Muda (Young Group), the reformers who advocated for a return to a purer, more literal interpretation of Islam, heavily influenced by the Wahhabi movement from Arabia. Their goal was to impose Sharia law and eliminate what they saw as un-Islamic customs (*adat*) and heterodox Sufi practices, a movement often referred to as the Padri or Kaum Putih.

In stark contrast, the community that Soenoer abandons represents the 'Kaum Tua' (Old Group), the traditionalists who upheld the established religious and social order. This group was deeply intertwined with the Shattariyyah Sufi order, which had become a cornerstone of Minangkabau Islamic identity, blending orthodox Shafi'i fiqh with mystical teachings. They also represented the forces of the *adat*, the customary

matriarchal laws governing social life. The war was not merely a religious dispute; it was a clash of worldviews, a civil war between those who sought to modernize and purify Islam and those who wished to preserve the integrated, syncretic culture of '*adat basandi syarak, syarak basandi Kitabullah*' (custom is based on law, law is based on the Book). Soenoer's flight is the ultimate act of defiance against this worldview, a declaration that his allegiance to the new faith supersedes his loyalty to his clan and custom.

The poem dramatizes the tragic consequences of this conflict. By joining the ranks of the 'reformers', Soenoer is cast out as a traitor. His relationship with his mother becomes a symbol of the generational rift caused by the movement. Her reaction—weeping and calling him names—is a visceral depiction of the familial devastation wrought by the war. She sees his departure not as a spiritual journey but as a betrayal of blood and belonging. The poem highlights the deep-seated tension that led to the Padri War, where religious reformers such as Haji Miskin and Tuanku Imam Bonjol declared jihad against the *adat* system and its custodians, resulting in a six-year civil war that ended in military defeat for the Padris in 1838. While the Padris ultimately lost the war, *Syair Soenoer* powerfully demonstrates that they won the battle for hearts and minds, causing irreversible fractures within the

community. The poem is a poignant reminder that wars are fought not only on battlefields but in the homes and souls of people, leaving behind a legacy of division long after the last shot is fired.

The Orthodoxy Under Siege

To fully comprehend the significance of *Syair Soenoer* and the Padri conflict, it is essential to understand the nature of the religious tradition that stood at the center of the controversy: the Shattariyyah Sufi order in Minangkabau. This tradition was far more than a monolithic institution; it was a sophisticated and deeply integrated system of religious and intellectual life that shaped the very identity of the Minangkabau people. Introduced to Sumatra by the eminent scholar 'Abd al-Ra'ūf Singkel in the early 17th century, the order was founded on a synthesis of orthodox Sunni theology (Ash'ari), jurisprudence (Shafi'i), and mystical philosophy (Sufism). Its influence was institutionalized through the *surau*, the traditional Islamic boarding schools that became the primary centers of learning in West Sumatra since the 18th century. These institutions were not isolated cloisters but vibrant hubs where students studied a multidisciplinary curriculum encompassing *tafsīr* (Quranic exegesis), *ḥadīth* (prophetic traditions), *shari'a*

(jurisprudence), and *tasawuf* (Sufism).

A key figure in the development of Minangkabau Shattariyyah was Sheikh Burhanuddin Ulakan, a revered teacher and leader who anchored the order in Ulakan, Pariaman. His leadership coincided precisely with the rise of the Padri movement, placing him at the epicenter of the ensuing conflict. The Shattariyyah tradition he represented was characterized by a rich devotional life, emphasizing individual practices such as silent *dhikr* (remembrance of God) and communal litanies (*rātib*) on Friday and Tuesday evenings. These practices, while deeply meaningful to adherents, were perceived by the reformists as superstitious and un-Islamic innovations (*bid'ah*). Furthermore, the order was associated with esoteric doctrines, most notably the mystical concept of *wahdat al-wujūd* (the unity of being), which posits that all existence is a manifestation of the divine.

This doctrine, deeply integrated into local cosmology and ritual, was considered a grave theological error by the Salafi-inspired Padris. Despite its deep-rootedness, the Shattariyyah tradition was not static. The sources reveal a crucial historical adaptation: to survive the onslaught of the Padri challenge and to better align with the

mainstream Ash'ari-Athari creed, the Minangkabau branch of the Shattariyyah deliberately distanced itself from the controversial *wahdat al-wujūd* doctrine from the late 19th century onward. This pragmatic theological shift demonstrates the order's resilience and capacity for self-preservation. However, during the height of the Padri War in the early 19th century, these very aspects of the tradition made it the primary target of the reformist movement. The Shattariyyah represented the established orthodoxy, the custodian of a centuries-old synthesis of Islam and Minangkabau culture. Its defense was waged through scholarly texts, such as *Kitab al-Taqwim wa al-Siyam* and *Risalah Mizan al-Qalb*, which aimed to legitimize traditional practices and defend the 'Kaum Tua' against accusations from the 'Kaum Muda'. Thus, the conflict in *Syair Soenoer* was not just a fight between two groups of people; it was a collision between a dynamic, culturally embedded orthodoxy and a rigid, externally imposed puritanism.

The Social Fabric in Tatters

The social dimensions of *Syair Soenoer* extend far beyond the immediate drama of its protagonist's flight. The poem offers a searing indictment of the social costs of the Padri reform movement, revealing how it systematically

dismantled the social fabric of Minangkabau society. The core of this fabric was the intricate relationship between adat (custom) and syarak (religion), encapsulated in the philosophical principle *adat basandi syarak, syarak basandi Kitabullah*. This principle was not just a slogan, but a lived reality—a framework that governed daily life, social relations, and justice. The Padri movement, however, directly attacked this synthesis. Influenced by Wahhabi thought, they viewed the matriarchal adat system as a form of infidelity and a barrier to implementing a "pure" Sharia-based Islamic state. Their war was therefore a war on the very structure of Minangkabau society.

The poem vividly illustrates the breakdown of this social cohesion through the fracture of the family unit. The relationship between Soenoer and his mother is the tragic focal point of this collapse. As he prepares to leave, she embodies the collective voice of the traditional community, mourning the loss of her son and cursing him as a traitor. Her grief is not merely personal; it is representative of a community losing its moral and social compass. The act of a child turning away from their parents and community in favor of a foreign ideology was a profound disruption of the social order. This dynamic created deep divisions within clans and families, as seen in the historical context where youth groups loyal to clan honor (*parewa*) were torn between

supporting the traditionalists and being swayed by reformist rhetoric. The poem captures the resulting trauma and bitterness, showing how the pursuit of religious purity came at the expense of familial love and social harmony.

Furthermore, the social conflict highlighted by *Syair Soenoer* reveals a deeper tension between different conceptions of piety and authority. The 'Kaum Tua' (traditionalists) derived their legitimacy from their role as keepers of the integrated adat-syarak tradition, often holding dual roles as both religious scholars (ulama) and secular leaders (penghulu). The 'Kaum Muda' (reformists), conversely, gained their authority from their connection to transnational Islamic

the localized, community-based authority of the elders in favor of a universal, scripturalist authority. This shift challenged the existing power structures and created a new basis for social status and influence. The poem, therefore, serves as a powerful commentary on the social upheaval caused by modernization and transnational religious currents, showing how they can corrode long-standing traditions and create lasting fractures within a society.

Interpreting Syair Soenoer as a Mirror of 19th-Century Minangkabau

Syair Soenoer emerges from this analysis as a much richer and more complex document than a straightforward narrative of

Aspect of Conflict	Representation in Syair Soenoer	Historical Context
		(Shatṭāriyya) and their societal structure (adat).
Core Ideological Divide	Loyalty to a new, puritanical faith versus loyalty to ancestral custom and tradition.	The conflict was fundamentally between the 'modernizers' (Paderi/Hanafi-influenced) and the 'conservatives' (Syattariyah/Syafi'i).
Symbolic Action	The protagonist flees, refusing to look back, signifying a permanent break.	The Padri War was a brutal six-year civil war that resulted in the military defeat of the Padris in 1838, though the ideological split endured.
Role of Family	The family unit is shattered, representing the broader societal fragmentation.	The conflict tore families and clans apart, creating deep traumas that influenced later generations.

centers like Mecca and their ability to offer a more "authentic" and globalized version of Islam. Soenoer's decision to follow the Padri path represents a rejection of

betrayal. It functions as a profound literary mirror reflecting the multifaceted socio-religious crisis that defined early 19th-century Minangkabau. The poem is not

merely a story about one man's flight; it is a condensed universe of the era's deepest conflicts, capturing the emotional, spiritual, and social tremors of a society undergoing a seismic shift. The protagonist, Soenoer, is the archetypal figure of the age: a young man whose encounter with a revolutionary religious ideology forces him into a tragic confrontation with his own identity, family, and community.

The emotional landscape of the poem is one of profound alienation and grief, stemming from the protagonist's painful rupture with his past and his community. This personal anguish is a direct reflection of the wider societal trauma inflicted by the Padri War, which tore families and clans apart. Spiritually, the poem documents the epic struggle between orthodoxy and reform. Soenoer's defection to the Padri cause represents the victory of a puritanical, scripturalist faith over the syncretic, Sufi-infused tradition of the Shattariyyah order. This established orthodoxy had successfully integrated Islam with Minangkabau adat for centuries. The poem's power lies in its portrayal of this ideological clash as

a deeply personal and emotionally charged event.

Finally, the social dimension of *Syair Soenoer* exposes the devastating impact of this conflict on the social fabric. By prioritizing a transnational religious identity over local custom and familial duty, the protagonist challenges the very foundation of Minangkabau society. The poem serves as a cautionary tale about the disruptive potential of reformist movements that seek to dismantle centuries-old social contracts in the name of religious purity. It reminds us that while ideologies may win wars, it is the human spirit and the fragile bonds of community that often bear the most significant scars. Ultimately, *Syair Soenoer* is a timeless exploration of the human condition at a moment of considerable change, offering invaluable insights into the enduring tensions between tradition and modernity, faith and custom, and the individual and the community.

Reference

Abdullah, Taufik. "Adat and Islam: An Examination of Conflict in Minangkabau." *Indonesia* 2, no. October (1966).

- Dobbin, Christine. *Islamic Revivalism in a Changing Peasant Economy: Central Sumatra, 1784-1847*. Dalam *Islamic Revivalism in a Changing Peasant Economy: Central Sumatra, 1784-1847*. Taylor and Francis, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315398181>.
- Drakard, Jane. "A Kingdom of Words: Minangkabau Sovereignty in Sumatran History." Phd Theses, The Australian National University, 1993.
- Faslah, Roni. "Islam, Adat, Dan Tarekat Syattariyah Di Minangkabau." *Al-Ittihad Jurnal Pemikiran Hukum Islam* 6, no. 2 (2020).
- Fathurahman, Oman. *Tarekat Syattariyah di Minangkabau: Teks dan Konteks*. Jakarta: Prenada Media Group École française d'Extrême-Orient Pusat Pengkajian Islam dan Masyarakat (PPIM) UIN Jakarta KITLV - Jakarta, 2008.
- Hadler, Jeffrey. "A Historiography of Violence and the Secular State in Indonesia: Tuanku Imam Bondjol and the Uses of History." *The Journal of Asian Studies* 67, no. 3 (Agustus 2008): 971–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021911808001228>.
- Kato, Tsuyoshi. *Adat Minangkababu dan Merantau dalam Perspektif Sejarah*. Jakarta: Balai Pustaka: Balai Pustaka, 2005.
- Navis, AA. *Alam Takambang Jadi Guru: Adat dan Kebudayaan Minangkabau*. Jakarta: Grafiti Pers, 1986.
- Snackey, Arnold. *Sair Soenoer: Ditoeroenkan dari ABC Melajoe-Arab*. Betawi: Betawi: Albert & Co, 1888.
- Suryadi. *Syair Sunur: teks dan konteks "otobiografi" seorang ulama Minangkabau abad ke-19*. Padang: Citra Budaya Indonesia: Citra Budaya Indonesia, 2004.
- Wieringa, Edwin. "A Tale Of Two Cities And Two Modes Of Reading: A Transformation Of The Intended Function Of The Syair Makah Dan Madinah." *Die Welt des Islams* 42, no. 2 (2002): 174–206. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15700600260186260>.